

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/790,335	Applicant(s) WALL, JOHN W.	
	Examiner Matthew O. Savage	Art Unit 1724	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew O. Savage.

(2) Kevin D. Bailey.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103.

Claims discussed:

10, 30, 33, 35, 36, and 40.

Prior art documents discussed:

Stockhowe et al, Wagner et al, and Burton.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to amend claims 10, 30, and 35 to replace "configured as a ball" to "a spheroid-shaped member having a maximum diameter that is larger than a minimum diameter of the post, said minimum diameter being located axially between the spheroid-shaped member and the tube body" to as to more closely correspond to the original disclosure on pages 6-7 of the specification and FIG. 5 of the drawings, and to patently distinguish over the center tube structure shown in FIGS. 8-10 of Stockhowe et al.. Agreed to amend claim 33 to clarify the structural relationship of the base with respect to the closure portion. Agreed to amend pages 6 and 7 of the specification to provide antecedence for the claimed subject matter. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details..